DATA ITEM DESCRIPTION

Title: Institutional Analysis and Institutional Control Plan

Number: WERS-017.01  Approval Date: 20100428
AMSC Number: Limitation:
DTIC Applicable: No  GIDEP Applicable: No
Office of Primary Responsibility: CEHNC-CX-MM

Applicable Forms: Use/Relationship: An Institutional Analysis will be conducted and an Institutional Control Plan will be prepared as part of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for Munitions Response projects. Refer to EP 1110-1-24 and ER 200-3-1 (sections 4-4.8.1 and 4-9.2.2) for detailed information.

Requirements:

1. Institutional Analysis. For each institution selected for review, the Contractor shall provide the following information:

1.1. Name of Agency

1.2 Origin of Institution

1.3 Basis of Authority

1.4 Sunset Provisions (refers to the periodic review of government agencies in order to continue their existence)

1.5 Geographic Jurisdiction

1.6 Public Safety Function

1.7 Land Use Control Function

1.8 Financial Capability (in general terms only; not detailed accounting).

1.9 Desire to participate in the institutional control program.

1.10 Constraints to Institutional Effectiveness.

2. Institutional Analysis Report. Upon completion of the data collection, the contractor shall document the results of the study in an Institutional Analysis Report. The Report shall include:

2.1 Purpose of Study

2.2 Methodology

2.3 Scope of Effort

2.4 Selection Criteria (Jurisdiction, Authority, Mission)

2.5 Acceptance of Joint Responsibility (desire to participate in the institutional control program)

2.6 Technical Capability

2.7 Intergovernmental Relationships (Ability to partner with other agencies)
2.8 Stability

2.9 Funding Sources Recommended for Detailed Analysis

2.10 Recommendations

3. Institutional Controls Alternatives Analysis.

3.1 Institutional controls alternatives for detailed analysis may consist of single or combined strategies, as appropriate. These alternatives must be completely formulated. All management, execution, and support roles shall be identified. All costs to participating institutions shall be estimated by the contractor. Candidate strategies may involve concepts similar to the following:

- **Access Control Alternatives**
  - Direct intervention like fencing and other barriers combined with trespass law enforcement
  - Land use restrictions (zoning laws and enforcement)
  - Regulatory control (permit application, review, and approval of development plans)
  - Passive measures such as dedication of property to appropriate land uses

- **Behavior Modification Alternatives**
  - Notification of real estate defect
  - Notices attached to building and/or construction permits
  - Training clinics, education for children, etc.

3.2 Residual Risk. Each selected institutional control alternative shall be assessed for its role in reducing residual risk (that from Munitions and Explosives of Concern (MEC) not eliminated by physical removal alternatives or left due to incompatibility of physical removal with community needs). Normal behavior of reasonable persons is the model for analysis. Statistical, numerical and phenomological expressions should be excluded.

4. Institutional Control Plan. The contractor shall prepare an Institutional Control Plan covering the following elements:

4.1 General description of site boundaries

4.2 Specific institutional controls that will be used on the site

4.3 Discuss how the proposed institutional controls will reduce the risk from residual MEC.

4.4 Identify the local, state, Federal, or private agencies, or individuals who will be involved in the implementation, administration, enforcement, and/or maintenance of the institutional controls.

4.5 Identification of short-term and long-term costs and funding sources

4.6 The requirements and schedule for implementation and inspection of the institutional controls

4.7 Specify how long the institutional controls will have to remain in place

4.8 Specify the procedures for modification or termination of the institutional controls

4.9 Develop a Land Use Matrix reflecting the potential response alternatives, cost, time until the property will be available for reuse, restrictions (if any) on use, alternatives for reuse, benefit, potential results of combinations of various institutional control alternatives, and potential for reuse at completion of the response.

5. End of DID WERS-017.